

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO**

Civil Action No.

JUNIPER MCGINN,

Plaintiff,

v.

EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO,
SHERIFF BILL ELDER, in his individual and official capacities,
JANE DOE, in her individual capacity,
JOHN DOES 1-4, in their individual capacities,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Juniper McGinn, by and through her attorneys, Andy McNulty and Mari Newman of KILLMER, LANE & NEWMAN, LLP, respectfully alleges for her Complaint and Jury Demand as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Juniper McGinn is a transgender woman who has been diagnosed with Gender Dysphoria. In the community, she lives in accordance with her female gender identity. Ms. McGinn was taken to the El Paso County Jail on June 2, 2020, after being arrested for participating in a Black Lives Matter protest in the wake of the murder of George Floyd. Despite it being obvious that she is a transgender woman, while at the El Paso County Jail, Ms. McGinn was subjected to a humiliating cross-gender visual body-cavity search by a male deputy. While being subjected to this humiliating search, and showering, multiple male deputies watched Ms. McGinn and laughed at her. Ms. McGinn's humiliating visual body-cavity search was not the

first time that a transgender woman inmate would be subjected to this demeaning, and discriminatory, treatment by the El Paso County Jail. In fact, the El Paso County Jail's policies, which were promulgated by Sheriff Bill Elder, required that deputies perform highly invasive cross-gender visual body cavity searches of transgender inmates. Ms. McGinn suffered significant emotional trauma from the humiliation she was subjected to at the El Paso County Jail.

2. Ms. McGinn files this lawsuit to hold El Paso County accountable for its routine violation of the Constitution. She seeks to vindicate her rights and the rights of all other transgender women in El Paso County who have been subjected to completely unnecessary cross-gender visual body-cavity searches, and discriminatory treatment at the hands of El Paso County officials.

PARTIES

3. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff Juniper McGinn was a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the State of Colorado

4. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant El Paso County, Colorado ("El Paso County") was a Colorado municipal corporation.

5. At all times relevant to the subject matter of this litigation, Defendant Bill Elder was a citizen of the United States and a resident of Colorado and was acting under color of state law in his capacity as the Sheriff of El Paso County. Pursuant to Colorado law, Defendant Elder is the official who manages and controls the correctional institutions operated by El Paso County, including the El Paso County Jail, and who is responsible for developing policies and procedures with respect to the operation of the El Paso County Jail. Sheriff Elder is responsible for, trains, and supervises the officials who operate the El Paso County Jail.

6. At all times relevant to the subject matter of this litigation, Defendant Jane Doe was a citizen of the United States and a resident of Colorado. At all relevant times, Defendant Jane Doe was acting under color of state law in her capacity as a deputy employed by El Paso County and Defendant Elder.

7. At all times relevant to the subject matter of this litigation, Defendant John Does 1-4 were citizens of the United States and a resident of Colorado. At all relevant times, Defendant John Does 1-4 were acting under color of state law in their capacity as deputies employed by El Paso County and Defendant Elder.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This action arises under the Constitution and laws of the United States, and is brought pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Jurisdiction supporting Plaintiff's claim for attorney fees and costs is conferred by 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

9. Venue is proper in this District according to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this District and all Defendants reside in this District.

10. Supplemental pendent jurisdiction for Plaintiff's state law claims is based on 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because the violations of federal law alleged are substantial and the pendent state law causes of action derive from a common nucleus of operative facts.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Gender Identity, Gender Dysphoria, and the incarceration of transgender women like Ms. McGinn.

1. Gender identity is an innate, internal sense of one's sex—e.g., being male or female—and is a basic part of every person's core identity. Everyone has a gender identity. Most people's gender identity is consistent with the sex they were assigned at birth ("assigned sex").

Transgender people, however, have a gender identity that is different from their assigned sex.

For example, a transgender woman is a woman who was assigned male at birth and has a female gender identity. A cisgender woman is a woman who was assigned female at birth and has a female gender identity.

2. The American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th ed. 2013) ("DSM-5") recognizes that being transgender is not itself a disability, but that the clinically relevant condition is the "Gender Dysphoria" experienced by many individuals whose gender identity conflicts with their assigned sex. Gender Dysphoria is defined as the significant distress that may accompany the incongruence between a transgender person's gender identity and assigned sex. This distress limits major life activities and is therefore a disability. A transgender person's Gender Dysphoria can be alleviated when the person is able to live, and be treated by others, consistently with the person's gender identity.

3. The accepted course of medical treatment to alleviate the symptoms of Gender Dysphoria often involves allowing the individual to live as his or her chosen gender through one or more of the following treatments: changes in gender expression and role; dressing, grooming, and otherwise outwardly presenting in a manner consistent with one's gender identity; hormone therapy; being treated in accordance with their gender identity; psychotherapy; and, in some cases, surgery to change primary and/or secondary sex characteristics.

4. Ms. McGinn has been diagnosed with Gender Dysphoria and has been openly living as a transgender woman in the community. As part of her medically supervised treatment, she changed her name and altered her physical appearance to conform to her female gender identity, including dressing in feminine attire.

5. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this action, Defendants were aware of Ms. McGinn's Gender Dysphoria and her identity as a transgender woman.

6. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this action, Defendants perceived Ms. McGinn as having Gender Dysphoria and being a transgender woman.

7. Ms. McGinn's Gender Dysphoria is a mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities.

8. Ms. McGinn is substantially limited in her ability to care for herself because she requires regular, ongoing, and life-long medical treatment. She is also substantially limited in other major life activities, such as eating, sleeping, learning, concentrating, thinking, communicating, and interacting with others because of distress associated with her Gender Dysphoria

9. Ms. McGinn is substantially limited in the operation of major bodily functions, including neurological function, brain function, endocrine function, and reproductive function.

10. Ms. McGinn has a history of Gender Dysphoria, which substantially limits one or more major life activities, including the ability to care for herself, eating, sleeping, learning, concentrating, thinking, communicating, interacting with others, and reproducing, and which substantially limits neurological function, brain function, endocrine function, and reproductive function.

11. When a transgender person's Gender Dysphoria is left untreated, or is inadequately treated, the consequences can be dire. Symptoms of untreated Gender Dysphoria often include intense emotional suffering, anxiety and depression, suicidality, and thoughts or acts of self-harm. All of those symptoms can be mitigated, and often prevented altogether, for transgender people with access to appropriate individualized treatment.

Ms. McGinn is subjected to an unconstitutional cross-gender visual body-cavity searches pursuant to El Paso County's official policy that male guards conduct visual body-cavity searches of transgender women inmates.

11. After being unlawfully arrested by during a Black Lives Matter protest, Ms. McGinn was placed into a mass arrest van and taken to the El Paso County Jail. On arrival at the Jail, Ms. McGinn was asked if she wanted a man or a woman to process her. Ms. McGinn responded that she wanted a woman to process her into the Jail.

12. Ms. McGinn was told that, per El Paso County policy, a female deputy would watch her shower, and conduct a visual body-cavity search of, the top half of her body then a male deputy would watch her shower, and conduct a visual body-cavity search of, the bottom half of her body.

13. In other words, despite her explicit request to have only a woman deputy present during her shower and visual body-cavity search, per El Paso County policy, custom, and practice, multiple male deputies watched her shower.

14. Jane Doe and John Does 1-4 watched Ms. McGinn shower. Jane Doe and John Does 1-4 viewed her naked body during the visual body-cavity search.

15. Defendant John Does 2-4 watched and laughed at Ms. McGinn as she showered. Ms. McGinn was humiliated and kept her head down as she showered.

16. After she was done showering, Defendant John Does 2-4 continued to laugh at Ms. McGinn as she was told to squat and move her genitals while Defendants John Does 1-4 and Jane Doe visually inspected her naked body and performed a visual body-cavity search of Ms. McGinn.

17. There was penological purpose for conducting a cross-gender visual body-cavity search of Ms. McGinn. There was no basis to have five deputies watching Ms. McGinn as she

showered and was subjected to a visual body-cavity search. And, there was certainly no penological purpose for any of the actions taken by Defendant John Does 2-4.

18. Defendant Jane Doe did nothing to intervene to make sure that Defendants John Does 1-4 were prevented from seeing Ms. McGinn's naked body.

19. El Paso County officials' derogatory visual body-cavity search of Ms. McGinn lead her to suffer significant emotional distress.

20. At the El Paso County Jail, female deputies conduct visual body-cavity searches of women and male deputies conduct visual body-cavity searches of men. The only time that cross-gender visual body-cavity searches occur is when El Paso County Jail deputies are searching transgender women.

21. It is El Paso County's official policy that transgender women (including those with Gender Dysphoria) are searched, including visual body-cavity searched, by male staff and not by female staff. No female guards are required to be present during searches (including visual body-cavity searches). Transgender women in El Paso County custody have routinely been subjected to searches, including visual body-cavity searches, by male staff.

22. El Paso County's decision to require that Ms. McGinn be subjected to a cross-gender visual body-cavity search, along with the decision to require that she be observed while she showered by male deputies, simply because she is a transgender woman, was a discriminatory action and a failure to reasonably accommodate Ms. McGinn's diagnosed Gender Dysphoria.

It is customary for male El Paso County deputies to humiliate transgender woman inmates while conducting the cross-gender visual body-cavity searches, and to not face consequences for these discriminatory acts.

23. Ms. McGinn's cross-gender body-cavity search was not the only time that an El Paso County deputy conducted an unconstitutional cross-gender body-cavity search of a transgender woman inmate.

24. On July 20, 2020, a male deputy at the El Paso County Jail performed a visual body-cavity search of a transgender woman named Darlene Griffith in a similarly humiliating manner to Ms. McGinn. When Ms. Griffith was booked into the jail, she was taken to the strip out room. Before Ms. Griffith began stripping off her clothes, both a male and female deputy arrived. When Ms. Griffith saw that both a male and female deputy would be conducting her visual body-cavity search, Ms. Griffith protested and asked that the male deputy leave. Ms. Griffith told both deputies that, because she is transgender, she does not want a male deputy to be present. The female deputy told Ms. Griffith that, per her sergeant's orders, the male deputy would stay throughout the entire strip out process. The female deputy told Ms. Griffith that because she was "still a male" in El Paso County's "system" that a male deputy would be conducting her visual body-cavity search pursuant to El Paso County policy and procedure. Ms. Griffith again asked that the female deputy conduct the visual body-cavity search because Ms. Griffith is a transgender woman. The female deputy refused.

25. The female deputy then told Ms. Griffith to take off her shirt, which she did. After examining Ms. Griffith's breasts, with the male deputy present, the female deputy gave Ms. Griffith a sports bra and then left the room. As the female deputy left the room, she told the male deputy, "*he* is all yours now to strip out." After the female deputy left the room, the male deputy ordered Ms. Griffith to take off her socks, pants, and panties, and then place her hands on the wall. The male deputy then told Ms. Griffith to step back, bend over, and "spread [her] sexy cheeks." Ms. Griffith protested the male deputy's use of this derogatory language, but complied

with his directive. The male deputy then told Ms. Griffith that he was “going to go balls deep in that ass” while grabbing his own penis in view of Ms. Griffith. The male deputy was extremely aggressive while searching Ms. Griffith’s genitals and making these comments. After the male deputy was finished sexually harassing Ms. Griffith, he told her that she had better not tell anyone about what he did and said to her.

26. What happened to Ms. Griffith is another example of the derogatory actions that are customarily inflicted on transgender women at the El Paso County Jail.

27. The El Paso County Jail has never imposed any discipline on the deputies who conducted the derogatory and unconstitutional cross-gender visual body-cavity searches of Ms. McGinn and Ms. Griffith.

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

42 U.S.C. § 1983

Fourteenth Amendment – Equal Protection

Against All Defendants

28. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all other paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

29. Defendants were acting under color of state law at all times relevant to this action.

30. Under the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, discrimination against transgender people is a form of sex discrimination that is presumptively unconstitutional and subject to heightened scrutiny.

31. Discrimination based on sex includes, but is not limited to, discrimination based on gender, gender nonconformity, transgender status, gender expression, and gender transition.

32. Discrimination based on transgender status is also presumptively unconstitutional under the Equal Protection Clause and subject to strict, or at least heightened, scrutiny.

33. Transgender people have suffered a long history of extreme discrimination across the country, in prisons and outside of prisons, and continue to suffer such discrimination to this day.

34. Many, if not most, transgender and cisgender women who are incarcerated, including Plaintiff, have discernable feminine characteristics and secondary female-typical sex characteristics that subject them to the humiliation when searched by male deputies.

35. Defendants' actions and inactions in requiring that male deputies, like Defendants Doe 1-4, perform cross-gender visual body-cavity searches of transgender women discriminates against Plaintiff on the basis of sex.

36. Defendants' actions and inactions in requiring that male deputies, like Defendants Doe 1-4, perform cross-gender visual body-cavity searches of transgender women also discriminates against her based on sex stereotyping, namely, treating her as though she were a cisgender man based on the presumption that her gender identity and expression should align with her sex assigned at birth. This sex stereotyping is based solely on Plaintiff's sex assigned at birth, disregarding her gender identity even though she is a woman and has had medical treatment to bring her body into alignment with her female gender identity.

37. Defendants acting under color of state law intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff by in requiring that male deputies, like Defendants Doe 1-4, conduct a cross-gender visual body-cavity search of Plaintiff.

38. Defendant Jane Doe failed to intervene to prevent the violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights.

39. Defendant's actions as described herein were taken without an important or legitimate governmental interest or rational reason, and they had no penological basis to deny Plaintiff the same searches that other women in the El Paso County Jail are allowed.

40. Plaintiff was searched by male deputies at the El Paso County Jail in accordance with the customs, policies, and practices of the El Paso County Jail, which are set by Defendant El Paso County and Elder. Defendants El Paso County and Elder discriminated against Plaintiff and other transgender women by adopting and applying these customs policies, and practices that deny transgender women safe and appropriate searches based on their sex and transgender identity, and instead require searches based on impermissible sex stereotypes without a compelling, important, or legitimate governmental interest.

41. Defendants' actions and inactions were taken in reckless and callous indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiff.

42. The acts or omissions of Defendants were the legal and proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages in that she suffered physical intrusion into bodily privacy and integrity, humiliation, and mental and emotional pain and anguish.

43. As a direct and proximate cause and consequence of the unconstitutional policies, procedures, customs, acts, inactions, and/or practices described above, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injuries, damages and losses as set forth herein

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
42 U.S.C. § 1983
Fourth And Fourteenth Amendment – Unreasonable Search
Against All Defendants

44. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all other paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

45. Defendants were acting under color of state law at all times relevant to this action.

46. Plaintiff has a legitimate expectation of privacy in her body being free from unreasonable governmental search.

47. Defendants' actions were objectively unreasonable in light of the circumstances confronting them. Namely, there was no basis for Defendants to perform a cross-gender visual body-cavity search of Plaintiff or to watch Plaintiff shower. Defendants' cross-gender visual body-cavity search while laughing at her was objectively unreasonable.

48. Defendants El Paso County and Elder's custom, policy, and practice of requiring, per official policy, male deputies to perform cross-gender visual body-cavity searches of transgender women, and to allow male deputies to watch transgender women shower, caused the violation of Plaintiff's rights and it would have been obvious and foreseeable to Defendants El Paso County and Elder that their custom, policy, and practice of requiring male deputies to perform visual body-cavity searches of transgender women would cause a violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights in the manner in which that violation occurred.

49. Defendants' actions and inactions were taken in reckless and callous indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiff.

50. The acts or omissions of Defendants were the legal and proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages in that she suffered physical intrusion into bodily privacy and integrity, humiliation, and mental and emotional pain and anguish.

51. As a direct and proximate cause and consequence of the unconstitutional policies, procedures, customs, acts, inactions, and/or practices described above, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injuries, damages and losses as set forth herein.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
42 U.S.C. § 1983
Fourteenth Amendment – Invasion of Bodily Privacy and Integrity
Against All Defendants

52. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all other paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

53. Defendants were acting under color of state law at all times relevant to this action.

54. By engaging in a cross-gender visual body-cavity of Plaintiff, and by watching Plaintiff shower, without her consent, Defendants violated Plaintiff's right to be secure in her bodily integrity, a liberty interest protected by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

55. Defendants El Paso County and Elder recklessly, with conscious disregard to the serious and obvious risk to the safety of transgender inmates like Plaintiff, violated Plaintiff's right to be secure in her bodily integrity by requiring male deputies to conduct cross-gender visual body-cavity searches of transgender women.

56. Defendants El Paso County and Elder knew that their acts or omissions were substantially certain to cause El Paso County officials to violate constitutional rights of transgender inmates like Plaintiff to be free from secure in their bodily integrity, and Defendants El Paso County and Elder consciously or deliberately chose to disregard this risk of harm in failing to provide and/or in deliberately choosing not to change the policy that required, per official policy, male deputies to perform cross-gender visual body-cavity searches of transgender women.

57. Defendants El Paso County and Elder exhibited deliberate indifference to the substantial and obvious risk of harm to Plaintiff, and other transgender inmates, by continuing to require, per official policy, male deputies to perform cross-gender visual body-cavity searches of transgender women.

58. Defendants El Paso County and Elder proximately caused an unconstitutional invasion of Plaintiff's bodily integrity by continuing to require, per official policy, male deputies to perform cross-gender visual body-cavity searches of transgender women.

59. Defendants El Paso County and Elder set in motion a series of events that they knew would cause an inmate in a similar situation as Plaintiff to be deprived of her constitutional right to be secure in her bodily integrity; but for the above acts or omissions of Defendants, Plaintiff would not have been subjected to a violation of her constitutional rights; and such a deprivation was a natural and foreseeable consequence of these acts and omissions.

60. The policies implemented by Defendants El Paso County and Elder, as well as Defendants' actions and inactions, violated Plaintiff's substantive due process right to bodily integrity under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

61. Defendants' actions and inactions were taken in reckless and callous indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiff.

62. When viewed in total, this conduct is outrageous and shocks the conscience.

63. The acts or omissions of Defendants were the legal and proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages in that she suffered physical intrusion into bodily privacy and integrity, humiliation, and mental and emotional pain and anguish.

64. As a direct and proximate cause and consequence of the unconstitutional policies, procedures, customs, and/or practices described above, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injuries, damages and losses as set forth herein.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
U.S.C. § 12101, *et. seq.* – Americans with Disabilities Act
Disability Discrimination
Against Defendant El Paso County

65. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all other paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set

forth herein.

66. Title II of the ADA provides that “[s]ubject to the provisions of this subchapter, no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of the services, programs, or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity.” 42 U.S.C. § 12132.

67. In particular, in providing any aid, benefit, or service, under Title II of the ADA, a public entity “may not ... [d]eny a qualified individual with a disability the opportunity to participate in or benefit from the aid, benefit or service,” “[a]fford a qualified individual with a disability an opportunity to participate in or benefit from the aid, benefit, or service that is not equal to that afforded others,” “[p]rovide a qualified individual with a disability with an aid, benefit, or service that is not as effective in affording equal opportunity . . . as that provided to others,” or “[o]therwise limit a qualified individual with a disability in the enjoyment of any right, privilege, advantage, or opportunity enjoyed by others[.]” 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(1)(i), (ii), (iii), and (vii).

68. A public entity “shall make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures when the modifications are necessary to avoid discrimination on the basis of disability.” 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7).

69. A public entity may not (1) “impose or apply eligibility criteria that screen out or tend to screen out an individual with a disability or any class of individuals with disabilities from fully and equally enjoying any service, program, or activity, unless such criteria can be shown to be necessary,” 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(8); or (2) “utilize criteria or methods of administration ... that have the effect of subjecting qualified individuals with disabilities to discrimination on the basis of disability ... or the purpose or effect of defeating or substantially impairing

accomplishment of the objectives of the public entity's program with respect to individuals with disabilities." 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(3)(i)(ii).

70. A public entity is also prohibited from aiding and perpetuating discrimination against persons with disabilities in the programs, services, or activities it provides. 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(1)(v).

71. The ADA's "integration mandate" requires public entities to "administer services, programs, and activities in the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of qualified individuals with disabilities." 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(d); 28 C.F.R. § 35.152(b)(2) (requiring that prisoners with disabilities be housed in the most integrated setting appropriate to their needs under the program access obligation); see also Guidance on ADA Regulation on Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability in State and Local Government Services, originally published July 26, 1991, 28 C.F.R. pt. 35, App. B ("Integration is fundamental to the purposes of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Provision of segregated accommodations and services relegates persons with disabilities to second-class status.").

72. Defendant El Paso County violated the rights of Plaintiff secured by Title II of the ADA and its implementing regulations.

73. El Paso County is currently, and at all times relevant to this action has been a "public entity" as defined by the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12131(1)(B), and provides a "program, service, or activity" within the meaning of the ADA, including educational and rehabilitative programs and services.

74. Plaintiff suffers from Gender Dysphoria.

75. Gender Dysphoria is not excluded under the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12211(b)(1), because it is a gender identity disorder that results from a physical impairment. In 2015, the U.S.

Department of Justice concluded that, “[i]n light of the evolving scientific evidence suggesting that Gender Dysphoria may have a physical basis, along with the remedial nature of the ADA and the relevant statutory and regulatory provisions directing that the terms ‘disability’ and ‘physical impairment’ be read broadly, the [ADA’s exclusion of gender identity disorders not resulting from a physical impairment] should be construed narrowly such that Gender Dysphoria falls outside its scope.”¹

76. Alternatively, Gender Dysphoria is not excluded under the ADA because it is not a “gender identity disorder” under 42 U.S.C. § 12211(b)(1)—it is a dysphoria.

77. Because of the date of the actions complained of, the expanded definition of “disability” under the Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act of 2008 (“ADAAA”) applies.

78. Under the ADAAA and DOJ regulations, the definition of disability is to be construed broadly in favor of expansive coverage. 42 U.S.C. § 12102(4)(A); 28 C.F.R. §§ 35.108(a)(2)(i), 35.108(d)(1)(i). Accordingly, the terms “substantially” and “major” in the definition of disability are to be interpreted consistently with the ADAAA’s findings and purposes, which reinstate “the broad scope of protection intended to be afforded by the ADA” and convey Congress’s intent “that the question of whether an individual’s impairment is a disability under the ADA should not demand extensive analysis.” 42 U.S.C. §§ 12102(4)(B), ADA Amendments Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-325, §§ (2)(a)(5), (b)(5).

79. In determining disability, the ADAAA requires that impairments must be assessed “without regard to the ameliorative effects of mitigating measures,” such as medication, therapy,

¹ Second Statement of Interest of the United States at 5, *Blatt v. Cabela’s Retail, Inc.*, No. 5:14-cv-4822-JFL, 2015 WL 9872493 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 16, 2015).

and reasonable accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12102(4)(E)(i).

80. In determining disability, the ADAAA requires that impairments that are “episodic or in remission” must be assessed in their active state. 42 U.S.C. § 12102(4)(D).

81. In determining disability, a “major life activity” includes “the operation of a major bodily function,” including neurological, brain, and reproductive functions. 42 U.S.C. § 12102(2)(B).

82. Under the ADAAA and DOJ regulations, “an individual meets the requirement of ‘being regarded as having’ an impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities if the individual establishes that he or she has been subjected to an action prohibited under th[e ADA] because of an actual or perceived physical or mental impairment whether or not the impairment limits or is perceived to limit a major life activity.” 42 U.S.C. §§ 12102(3)(A); see also 28 C.F.R. § 35.108(f)(1); ADA Amendments Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-325, § (2)(b)(3) (reinstating “broad view of the third prong” of the definition of disability). Accordingly, no showing of substantial limitation of a major life activity is required under the regarded-as prong. 28 C.F.R. § 35.108(a)(2)(iii) (“[T]he ‘regarded as’ prong of the definition of ‘disability’ . . . does not require a showing of an impairment that substantially limits a major life activity or a record of such an impairment.”).

83. Plaintiff’s Gender Dysphoria substantially limits one or more major life activities, including her ability to care for herself, eating, sleeping, learning, concentrating, thinking, communicating, interacting with others, and reproducing, and also substantially limits the operation of major bodily functions, including neurological function, brain function, endocrine function, and reproductive function.

84. Plaintiff has a record of Gender Dysphoria, which substantially limits one or more

major life activities, including her ability to care for herself, eating, sleeping, learning, concentrating, thinking, communicating, interacting with others, and reproducing, and also substantially limits the operation of major bodily functions, including neurological function, brain function, endocrine function, and reproductive function.

85. Plaintiff “meets the requirement of ‘being regarded as having’ an impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities” because El Paso County excluded her from participation in and denied her the benefits of El Paso County’s programs, services, and activities based on Gender Dysphoria, and also subjected her to discrimination based on Gender Dysphoria.

86. Plaintiff is currently and at all times relevant to this action has been a “qualified individual with a disability” within the meaning of Title II of the ADA.

87. As an inmate in the custody of El Paso County, Plaintiff was qualified to participate in El Paso County’s programs, services, and activities. El Paso County provides these services to other inmates committed to its custody.

88. El Paso County failed to provide reasonable modification to its policies, procedures, and practices regarding Plaintiff, as it was legally required to do. 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7). El Paso County did not attempt accommodations such as gender-appropriate searches and showering. By virtue of the fact that El Paso County did not put these or other reasonable modifications in place, Plaintiff was discriminated against because of her disabilities.

89. By forcing Plaintiff to shower in front of male deputies and to endure a cross-gender visual body-cavity search, El Paso County subjected Plaintiff to discrimination based on Gender Dysphoria.

90. Defendants’ actions and inactions were taken in reckless and callous indifference to the protected rights of Plaintiff.

91. Defendants’ acts or omissions were the legal and proximate cause of Plaintiff’s damages in that she suffered physical pain and suffering, humiliation, and mental and emotional pain and anguish, and continues to suffer mental and emotional pain and anguish to this day and likely for the rest of her life.

92. As a direct and proximate cause and consequence of the unconstitutional policies, procedures, customs, acts, inactions, and/or practices described above, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injuries, damages and losses as set forth herein.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
U.S.C. § 701, et. seq. – Rehabilitation Act of 1973
Disability Discrimination
Against Defendant El Paso County

93. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all other paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

94. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act provides that “[n]o otherwise qualified individual with a disability . . . shall, solely by reason of her or his disability, be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance. . . .” 29 U.S.C. § 794(a).

95. El Paso County accepts federal financial assistance and has done so at all times relevant to this Third Amended Complaint. El Paso County is therefore a “program . . . receiving Federal financial assistance” for purposes of the Rehabilitation Act. 214. The definition of disability is identical under the ADA and Rehabilitation Act, and the expanded definition of “disability” under the ADAAA applies with equal force to the definition of “disability” under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. See ADA Amendments Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-325, §7 (conforming Section 504 of Rehabilitation Act’s definition of “disability,” 29 U.S.C. § 705, to definition of disability “in section 3 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C.

12102)).”

96. Plaintiff suffers from Gender Dysphoria, which substantially limits one or more major life activities and also the operation of major bodily functions. Plaintiff “meets the requirement of ‘being regarded as having’ an impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities” because El Paso County excluded her from participation in and denied her the benefits of El Paso County’s programs, services, and activities based on Gender Dysphoria, and also subjected her to discrimination based on these impairments.

97. Gender Dysphoria is not excluded under the Rehabilitation Act because it is a gender identity disorder that results from a physical impairment or, alternatively, it is not a gender identity disorder—it is a dysphoria.

98. Plaintiff is currently and at all times relevant to this action has been a “qualified individual with a disability” for purposes of the Rehabilitation Act.

99. By forcing Plaintiff to shower in front of male deputies and to endure a cross-gender visual body-cavity search, El Paso County subjected Plaintiff to discrimination based on Gender Dysphoria in violation of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

100. Defendants’ actions and inactions were taken in reckless and callous indifference to Plaintiff’s protected rights.

101. Defendants’ acts and omissions were the legal and proximate cause of Plaintiff’s damages in that she suffered physical pain and suffering, humiliation, and mental and emotional pain and anguish, and continues to suffer mental and emotional pain and anguish to this day and likely for the rest of her life.

102. As a direct and proximate cause and consequence of the unconstitutional policies, procedures, customs, acts, inactions, and/or practices described above, Plaintiff suffered and

continues to suffer injuries, damages and losses as set forth herein.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
C.R.S. § 24-34-601, et. seq. – Colorado Anti-Discrimination Act
Sex and Transgender Discrimination
Against All Defendants

103. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all other paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

104. The Colorado Anti-Discrimination Act, C.R.S. § 24-34-601(2)(a) provides that it is a violation of Colorado law for any place of public accommodation to discriminate against any person based on sex or sexual orientation, including transgender status.

105. The El Paso County Jail is a place of “public accommodation” as defined under C.R.S. § 24-34-601(1). Defendants operate the facilities that housed Plaintiff and are responsible for providing the full and equal enjoyment of its services, programs and benefits to the public regardless of the status of Plaintiff as a transgender woman.

106. Defendants refused to provide these services, programs and benefits of these public accommodations to Plaintiff because of her transgender status.

107. Defendants provide these public accommodations to cisgender men and cisgender women but deny these services to transgender women because of their sex and transgender status.

108. Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her sex and transgender status, in violation of Colorado law, and in so doing, caused Plaintiff serious physical and psychological harm, and subjected her to an unreasonable risk of harm.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
C.R.S. § 24-34-601, et. seq. – Colorado Anti-Discrimination Act
Disability Discrimination
Against All Defendants

109. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all other paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set

forth herein.

110. The Colorado Anti-Discrimination Act, C.R.S. § 24-34-601(2)(a) provides that it is a violation of Colorado law for any place of public accommodation to discriminate against any person based the disability of that person.

111. The El Paso County Jail is a place of “public accommodation” as defined under C.R.S. § 24-34-601(1). Defendants operate the facilities that housed Plaintiff and are responsible for providing the full and equal enjoyment of its services, programs and benefits to the public regardless of the status of Plaintiff as a transgender woman.

112. Defendants refused to provide these services, programs and benefits of these public accommodations to Plaintiff because of her transgender status.

113. Defendants provide these public accommodations to cisgender men and cisgender women but deny these services to transgender women because of their sex and transgender status.

114. Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her sex and transgender status, in violation of Colorado law, and in so doing, caused Plaintiff serious physical and psychological harm, and subjected her to an unreasonable risk of harm.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against each Defendant, and award her all relief allowed by law, including but not limited to the following:

- A. All appropriate relief at law and equity;
- B. Declaratory relief and other appropriate equitable relief;
- C. Economic losses on all claims as allowed by law;

- D. Compensatory and consequential damages, including damages for emotional distress, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life, and other pain and suffering on all claims allowed by law in an amount to be determined at trial;
- E. Punitive damages on all claims allowed by law and in an amount to be determined at trial;
- F. Attorneys' fees and the costs associated with this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1988, including expert witness fees, on all claims allowed by law;
- G. Pre-and post-judgment interest at the lawful rate; and
- H. Any other appropriate relief at law and equity that this Court deems just and proper.

PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL ON ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE

DATED this 1st day of June 2022.

KILLMER, LANE & NEWMAN, LLP

/s/ Andy McNulty

Andy McNulty

Mari Newman

1543 Champa St., Ste. 400

Denver, CO 80202

Phone: (303) 571-1000

Facsimile: (303) 571-1001

amcnulty@kln-law.com

mnewman@kln-law.com

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

JUNIPER MCGINN

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff _____
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Andy McNulty, Killmer Lane & Newman, LLP
1543 Champa St. Suite 400, Denver, CO 80202
(303) 571-1000



DEFENDANTS

EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO; SHERIFF BILL ELDER

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF
THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☒ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) <input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
42 U.S.C. § 1983

Brief description of cause:
14th Amendment - Equal Protection; unreasonable search; ADA

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE

Jun 1, 2022

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ Andy McNulty

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
- United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
- Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
- Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
- Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
- Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.
- Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
- Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
- Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
- Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
- Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
- PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
- Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
- Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.